



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

the record that it has been flagrantly abused. *Parker v. Green*, 49 Ga. 624; *Roger v. Tennant*, 45 Cal. 185. It is not an abuse of this discretion to grant an injunction where there is a doubtful case, and the defendant might do acts which would render a final judgment in favor of the plaintiff ineffectual. *Gloversville v. Johnstown Ry. Co.*, 66 Hun 627. It is an abuse to grant an interlocutory injunction where the complaint fails to state a cause of action and is reviewable, *McHenry v. Jewett*, 90 N. Y. 58; unless a doubtful question of law arises from the complaint, when the higher court should defer its decision until a hearing upon the merits by the lower court. *Selchow v. Baker*, 93 N. Y. 59.

**NEGLIGENCE—HIGHWAYS—DANGEROUS CONDITION.**—*SHEPARD v. BELLOW & MERRITT CO.*, 91 N. Y. SUPP. 999.—*Held*, that where contractors reconstructing a road had given notice to the public of the dangerous condition of the road and plaintiff had actual notice of its condition by recent use, the contractors are not liable for injury to plaintiff resulting from the use of the road while in such condition. Chase and Houghton, JJ., dissenting.

A traveler upon the highway must use ordinary care to avoid injury. *Creamer v. R. Co.*, 156 Mass. 320; *Chicago v. Bixby*, 84 Ill. 82. Contributory negligence is not conclusively established by the fact that the injured party had previous knowledge of the highway defect. *Evans v. Utica*, 69 N. Y. 166; *Mahoney v. Metropolitan R. Co.*, 104 Mass. 73. Nor by the fact that injured party might have taken a better and safer part of the highway. *Aurora v. Hillman*, 90 Ill. 61; *Griffin v. Auburn*, 58 N. H. 121. *Contra*, *Wilson v. Charlestown*, 8 Allen 137. Nor by the fact that plaintiff could have seen the defect, if he had looked. *Merriam v. Phillipsburg*, 158 Pa. 78. Only those using the highway in the ordinary mode for travel can recover damages. *Taylor v. Peckham*, 8 R. I. 349; *McArthur v. Saginaw*, 58 Mich. 357.

**NUISANCE—PERCOLATION OF WATER.**—*SCHWARZENBACH v. ELECTRIC WATER POWER CO.*, 92 N. Y. SUPP. 187.—*Held*, that where defendant had a license from plaintiff to erect a dam and flood his land below the dam, defendant is liable for overflow and percolation of water through the dam flooding other land of plaintiff. Parker and Smith, JJ., dissenting.

A person erecting a dam is responsible for all injury caused by it at ordinary stages of water, *Angell*, *Water Courses*, (7th Ed.), sec. 330; such as drowning neighboring lands by percolation. *Marsh v. Trullinger*, 6 Ore. 356; *Wilson v. New Bedford*, 108 Mass. 261. Also owners of a reservoir which is not sufficiently protected against percolation are liable for injury caused thereby. *Monson v. Fuller*, 5 Pick. 554; *Snow v. Whitehead*, L. R. 27 Ch. D. 588. The American decisions plant the liability on the ground of negligence in construction or in maintenance of dam or reservoir, *Pixley v. Clark*, 35 N. Y. 520; *Mills v. County Commiss'rs*, 108 Mass. 363; whereas the English courts hold that the owners are liable, though not negligent in constructing or maintaining such reservoir. *Rylands v. Fletcher*, L. R. 1 Exch. 265; *Smith v. Fletcher*, L. R. 7. Exch. 305.

**RAILROADS—TRESPASSERS—WANTON NEGLIGENCE.**—*REYBURN v. MO. PAC. Ry. Co.*, 86 S. W. 174 (Mo.).—*Held*, that a railroad is liable for the death of a trespasser walking on the track, when the trainmen must inevitably have seen him, but did nothing to warn him of his danger.

The weight of authority seems to be that a trespasser cannot recover in the